nature

Accelerated Article Preview

SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer

Received: 12 November 2021

Accepted: 14 December 2021

Accelerated Article Preview Published online 23 December 2021

Cite this article as: Hale, V. L. et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer. *Nature* https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x (2021). Vanessa L. Hale, Patricia M. Dennis, Dillon S. McBride, Jaqueline M. Nolting, C hristopher Madden, Devra Huey, Margot Ehrlich, Jennifer Grieser, Jenessa Winston, Dusty Lombardi, Stormy Gibson, Linda Saif, Mary L. Killian, Kristina Lantz, Rachel Tell, Mia Torchetti, Suelee Robbe-Austerman, Martha I. Nelson, Seth A. Faith & Andrew S. Bowman

This is a PDF file of a peer-reviewed paper that has been accepted for publication. Although unedited, the content has been subjected to preliminary formatting. Nature is providing this early version of the typeset paper as a service to our authors and readers. The text and figures will undergo copyediting and a proof review before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x

Received: 12 November 2021

Accepted: 14 December 2021

Published online: 23 December 2021

Vanessa L. Hale^{1,12}, Patricia M. Dennis^{1,2,12}, Dillon S. McBride¹, Jaqueline M. Nolting¹, Christopher Madden¹, Devra Huey¹, Margot Ehrlich³, Jennifer Grieser⁴, Jenessa Winston⁵, Dusty Lombardi⁶, Stormy Gibson⁶, Linda Saif^{1,7}, Mary L. Killian⁸, Kristina Lantz⁸, Rachel Tell⁸, Mia Torchetti⁸, Suelee Robbe-Austerman⁸, Martha I. Nelson^{9,11}, Seth A. Faith¹⁰ & Andrew S. Bowman¹⁵³

Humans have infected a wide range of animals with SARS-CoV-2 viruses¹⁻⁵, but the establishment of a new natural animal reservoir has not been observed. Here, we document that free-ranging white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) are highly susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV-2 virus, are exposed to a range of viral diversity from humans, and are capable of sustaining transmission in nature. SARS-CoV-2 virus was detected by rRT-PCR in more than one-third (129/360, 35.8%) of nasal swabs obtained from Odocoileus virginianus in northeast Ohio (USA) during January-March 2021. Deer in 6 locations were infected with 3 SARS-CoV-2 lineages (B.1.2, B.1.582, B.1.596). The B.1.2 viruses, dominant in humans in Ohio at the time, infected deer in four locations. Probable deer-to-deer transmission of B.1.2, B.1.582, and B.1.596 viruses was observed, allowing the virus to acquire amino acid substitutions in the spike protein (including the receptor-binding domain) and ORF1 that are infrequently seen in humans. No spillback to humans was observed, but these findings demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 viruses have the capacity to transmit in US wildlife, potentially opening new pathways for evolution. There is an urgent need to establish comprehensive "One Health" programs to monitor deer, the environment, and other wildlife hosts globally.

As of November 9, 2021, SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has caused over 5 million deaths globally⁶. The zoonotic origins of SARS-CoV-2 are not fully resolved⁷, exposing large gaps in our knowledge of susceptible host species and potential new reservoirs. Natural infections of SARS-CoV-2 linked to human exposure have been reported in domestic animals (e.g. cats, dogs, ferrets) and wildlife under human care, including several species of big cats, Asian small-clawed otters, western lowland gorillas, and mink¹. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 by PCR in free-ranging wildlife has been limited to small numbers of mink in Spain and Utah (USA), which purportedly escaped from a nearby farm^{8,9}, An in silico study modeling SARS-CoV-2 binding sites on the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor across host species predicted that cetaceans, rodents, primates, and several species of deer are at higher risk for infection¹⁰. Experimental infections have identified additional animal species susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 including hamsters, North American raccoons, striped skunks, white-tailed deer, raccoon dogs, fruit bats, deer mice, domestic European rabbits, bushy-tailed woodrats, tree shrews, and multiple non-human primate species¹¹⁻²⁰. Moreover, several species were capable of intra-species SARS-CoV-2 transmission (cats, ferrets, fruit bats, hamsters, raccoon dogs, deer mice, white-tailed deer)^{13-15,17,21-23}. Vertical transmission has also been documented in experimentally infected white-tailed deer²³. Alarmingly, in July 2021 antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 were reported in 152 free-ranging white-tailed deer (seroprevalence 40%) sampled across Michigan, Pennsylvania, Illinois, and New York (USA)²⁴, raising the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 infected deer in the Midwest and Northeast regions.

In this study, we report the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in 129 out of 360 (35.8%) free-ranging white tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) from northeast Ohio tested via rRT-PCR between January-March 2021. SARS-CoV-2 is a reportable disease in animals and per international health regulations these results were immediately reported to the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) on August 31, 2021, the first PCR-confirmed report of natural infection of SARS-CoV-2 in a cervid globally (Report ID: FUR_151387, Outbreak ID: 89973)²⁵. Fourteen SARS-CoV-2 viruses were whole-genome sequenced and deposited in GISAID on October 5, 2021 Extended Data Table 4), representing the first deposit of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from deer in a public repository.

Veterinary Preventive Medicine, The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA. ²Cleveland Metroparks Zoo, Cleveland, OH, 44109, USA. ³The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA. ⁴Cleveland Metroparks, Cleveland, OH, 44144, USA. ⁵Veterinary Clinical Sciences, The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA. ⁴Cleveland Metroparks, Cleveland, OH, 44144, USA. ⁵Veterinary Clinical Sciences, The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA. ⁴Cleveland Metroparks, Cleveland, OH, 44144, USA. ⁵Veterinary Clinical Sciences, The Ohio State University College of Food, Agriculture, and Environmental Sciences, Wooster, OH, 44691, USA. ⁸National Veterinary Services Laboratories, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Ames, IA, ⁵0010, USA. ⁹Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA. ¹⁰Infectious Diseases Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210, USA. ¹¹Present address: National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20894, USA. ¹²These authors contributed equally: Vanessa L. Hale, Patricia M. Dennis. ⁶⁸e-mail: bowman.214@osu.edu

Additionally, we recovered two viable SARS-CoV-2 isolates from our samples, providing evidence that naturally infected deer shed infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus, Genetic sequence data were used to estimate the number of human-to-deer transmission events, characterize the genetic diversity of the virus in deer, and identify phylogenetic clades of deer-only viruses arising from deer-to-deer transmission.

High infection rate of SARS-CoV-2

We sampled 360 free-ranging white-tailed deer across from nine locations (Figure 1a) in northeast Ohio (USA) between January-March 2021. Across all sites, SARS-CoV-2 was detected by rRT-PCR in 35.8% of nasal swabs from white-tailed deer (129/360, 95% CI 30.9% - 41.0%, Supplementary Table 1). Each site was sampled 1-3 times during the study period, for a total of 18 collection dates (Extended Data Table 1). At least 1 rRT-PCR-positive sample was identified from 17/18 collection dates with the majority of positive sample CT values less than 30 (Figure 1b). Prevalence estimates varied from 13.5% to 70% across the nine sites (Figure 1c). The highest prevalence estimates of SARS-CoV-2 were observed in four sites (2, 5, 7, and 9) situated in the northern section of the sampled area, adjacent to urban areas with higher human population densities (Figure 1a, c). Male deer (Chi2 = 25.45, p-value < 0.0005) and heavier deer (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney p-value = 0.0056) were significantly more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Extended Data Table 2).

Three SARS-CoV-2 lineages identified

We sequenced the complete genome of 14 viruses collected from six of the nine sites, collected at seven time points spanning from 1/26/2021 to 2/25/2021 (Supplementary Table 1). The deer samples were collected approximately six weeks after the peak of Ohio's 2020-2021 winter epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 in humans, which was dominated by B.1.2 viruses (>50% of human viruses, Figure 2a, Extended Data Table 3). B.1.2 viruses genetically similar to human viruses were detected in deer at four sites: 4, 7, 8, and 9 (Figure 2b). B.1.596, a minor lineage (-11% of human viruses), was identified in seven deer samples at site 1, spanning two collection times (2/2/2021 and 2/25/2021). A rarer lineage, B.1.582 (-1% of human samples), was identified in two deer samples at site 6. No sequences belonging to the alpha (B.1.1.7) or delta (B.1.617.2) lineages were identified in the deer samples, as these variants became widespread in the human population only after February 2021.

Six human-to-deer transmission events

Although B.1.2 was identified in deer at four sites, our phylogenetic analysis found no evidence of B.1.2 viruses transmitting in deer across sites. Rather, each site experienced a separate human-to-deer transmission event of a slightly genetically different B.1.2 virus positioned in a different section of the B.1.2 clade on the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2c). In total, six human-to-deer transmission events were observed: B.1.582 (site 6), B.1.596 (site 1), and B.1.2 (sites 4, 7, 8, and 9). There is a degree of uncertainty about the timing of each viral entry into the deer population, owing to long branch lengths that separate the deer viruses from the ancestral human viruses on the phylogenetic tree. To estimate the timing and location of human-to-deer transmission for the larger cluster of B.1.596 deer viruses, a time-scale Bayesian MCC tree was inferred using a phylogeographic approach (Figure 3a). The MCC tree is consistent with human-to-deer transmission occurring geographically in Ohio (posterior probability = 0.98) and temporally during the winter epidemic when viral loads in humans (and the environment) would be peaking. The MCC tree indicates that 1.596 viruses were introduced into humans in Ohio multiple times from other US states during the fall of 2020 and winter of 2020-2021, forming three co-circulating Ohio clades in humans. The largest Ohio clade then seeded the deer outbreak. Deer

Deer-to-deer transmission and evolution

Viable SARS-CoV-2 virus was recovered from two of the deer samples (Extended Data Table 4). Deer-to-deer transmission may have occurred within the three study sites where more than one deer sample was sequenced: site 1 (B.1.596), site 6 (B.1.582), and site 9 (B.1.2). Sites 6 and 9 only had two viruses collected, both from the same sampling date (Figure 2b), limiting what can be inferred about transmission. Instead, our analysis of deer-to-deer transmission and evolution focused on the larger deer cluster of 7 B.1.596 viruses observed in site 1 that spans two collection dates (Figure 3a). A number of uncommon amino acid substitutions were observed in all 7 deer viruses in this clade (i.e. all Site 1 sequences) that were not observed in the most closely related human viruses. Five clade-defining mutations were observed in ORF1ab: a five-residue deletion in nsp1 (Δ82-86), nsp2_T434I, nsp2_P597L, nsp12_ A382V, and nsp13_M474I (numbering in Figure 3b refers to ORF1a and ORF1b). A clade-defining deletion (Δ 141-144) also was observed in the S1 domain of the spike protein in the 7 deer viruses. All six clade-defining mutations observed in these deer are uncommon among human viruses (< 0.05% frequency globally)²⁶.

Uncommon amino acid changes in the spike protein S1 domain also were observed in singleton deer viruses. A B.1.2 virus from site 7 (hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0212/2021) has a substitution in the spike protein's N-terminal domain (H245Y). A single B.1.596 virus from site 1 (hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0340/2021) has a substitution in the spike protein receptor binding motif (E484D, Figure 3c). Both mutations are relatively rare in humans, found in < 0.5% of all SARS-CoV-2 viruses sequenced globally. In experimental studies, viruses with the E484D substitution are less sensitive to neutralization by convalescent sera²⁷. The E484D substitution has only been detected in 201 SARS-CoV-2 sequences from humans globally, 71 of which were in the United States, but none of the B.1.596 viruses in humans that were most closely related to the deer virus have this mutation. It is therefore impossible to differentiate if the E484D mutation arose in an unsampled human virus and was transmitted to deer or arose *de novo* in deer. Additionally. due to low availability of sequence data from deer it is not possible to determine if these spike mutations have transmitted to other deer.

Discussion

Our finding that white-tailed deer are frequently infected with SARS-CoV-2 viruses raises profound questions about the future trajectory of SARS-CoV-2. The potential establishment of a new reservoir of SARS-CoV-2 viruses in white-tailed deer could open new pathways for evolution, transmission to other wildlife species, and potential spillback of novel variants to humans that our immune system has not seen before. SARS-CoV-2 viruses have a high capacity for adaptive evolution when infection rates are high in a community or population. It is therefore alarming that over one-third of deer in our study were positive by PCR, suggesting an active or recent infection during last winter's major wave. A number of mutations were observed in white-tailed deer that are very low frequency in humans, including a mutation in the receptor binding motif. Such mutations could potentially be amplified in a new reservoir host with high infection rates and different constraints on evolution. There is an urgent need to expand monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 viruses in potential wildlife hosts to document the breadth of the problem in white-tailed deer nationally, understand the ecology of transmission, and track evolutionary trajectories going forward, including in other potential host species.

The impact of urban sprawl on disease ecology is well documented for Lyme disease and other multihost zoonotic systems that include white-tailed deer, rodents, and other species that have become ubiquitous and well adapted in expanding US urban and semi-urban environments, creating opportunities for pathogen exchange. Approximately 30 million free-ranging white-tailed deer are distributed broadly across urban, suburban, and rural environments in the United States, and can live at densities of greater than 45 deer per square mile in some areas²⁸. Ohio is home to >700,000 free-ranging white-tailed deer²⁹ and another 440 commercial deer farms³⁰. Estimates of deer density in and around our sites range from approximately 8/km² to upwards of 30/km². There are no deer farms in the study area and public feeding of deer is prohibited. There is ample forage available around urban and suburban residences in gardens and plantings, drawing deer into close proximity with humans and their companion animals. Therefore, is unsurprising that deer in urban sites were at higher risk for infection in our study. Urban settings provide ample opportunities for deer to have direct and indirect contact with human-contaminated sources (e.g. trash, backyard feeders, bait stations, wildlife hospitals) that could serve as a pathway for viral spillover into wildlife. Additionally, urban and suburban environments include waterways that could be contaminated by multiple sources^{18,31}. Viable SARS-CoV-2 is shed in human stool. SARS-CoV-2 RNA and has also been detected in wastewater^{32,33} and urban runoff³⁴; although, infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 from these sources is undetermined. The recent detection of genetically distinct SARS-CoV-2 virus fragments in New York City wastewater introduces an intriguing hypothesis that SARS-CoV-2 could be transmitting cryptically in rodents³⁵. However, although sensitive techniques for detecting viral RNA in wastewater have vastly improved, providing a potentially useful tool for early detection of outbreaks, isolating or whole-genome sequencing viruses to characterize their genetic diversity remains challenging.

A major outstanding question is how the virus transmits between deer. Deer are social animals that live in small herds and frequently touch noses. It is unclear if baiting the deer prior to harvest contributed the increased frequency of SARS-CoV-2 in this study but concentrating deer with bait could have potentially facilitated pathogen transmission through a population. However, baiting is regularly used in deer management programs and the practice is commonly employed by deer hunters, which makes understanding the effect of baiting on SARS-CoV-2 transmission in free-ranging deer paramount for future studies. The increased rate of infection in males in this study could reflect sex-linked differences in behavior that increase disease transmission. The higher prevalence of chronic wasting disease and tuberculosis in male white-tailed deer is attributed to larger male home ranges, increased movement and contact with other deer during breeding season (fall/winter), and dynamic male social group composition and size³⁶. Deer may experience high levels of viremia and shedding that may be conducive to environmental or aerosol transmission. Another question is whether deer experience clinical disease and whether clinical signs such as sneezing or nasal discharge increase the risk of transmission. Two previous experimental studies reported only subclinical infections in white-tailed deer challenged with SARS-CoV-2, but these are very small sample sizes14,23.

While extensive measures were taken to prevent cross-contamination during sample collection and testing, the nature field work makes it impossible to completely exclude the possibility. Cross-contamination during sample collection would not invalidate the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed deer but would artificially inflate prevalence estimates. However, the extent of genomic diversity among the sequences recovered on a single sampling day (e.g. site 1, sampling 2) indicates cross-contamination during sample collection was likely minimal.

Although our study was limited to northeastern Ohio, these findings have implications for other US states, including Michigan, Pennsylvania,

New York, and Illinois where high rates of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed deer was reported earlier based on serology²⁴. Serological assays are notoriously difficult to interpret and many animal health experts hoped the results were an artifact. Moreover, the detection of antibodies does not prove active infection. Unfortunately, our study suggests that the antibodies observed in deer in other states may have arisen from active infection, and this is just the tip of the iceberg.

Moreover, it is worth noting that white-tailed deer are a relatively convenient surveillance target because of their abundance and accessibility. The detection of SARS-CoV-2 in free-ranging white-tailed deer naturally raises the question whether less accessible species are also being infected through viral spillover from humans, which calls for broader surveillance efforts.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x.

- USDA APHIS. Confirmed Cases of SARS-CoV-2 in Animals in the United States, ">https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/dashboards/tableau/sars-dashboard> (2021).
- McAloose. D. et al. From People to Panthera: Natural SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Tigers and Lions at the Bronx Zoo. *mBio* 11, e02220-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02220-20 (2020).
- Oude Munnink, B.B. et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans. *Science* 371, 172-177, https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abe5901 (2021).
- Schulz, C. et al. SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibodies in Domestic Cats during First COVID-19 Wave, Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 27, 3115-3118, https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2712.211252 (2021).
- Sit, T.H.C., et al. Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2. Nature 586, 776–778, https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-020-2334-52 (2020).
- WHO. World Health Organization Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard, https://covid19.who.int/> (2021).
- Andersen, K. G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W. I., Holmes, E. C. & Garry, R. F. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Medicine* 26, 450-452, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9 (2020).
- Shriner, S. A. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Exposure in Escaped Mink, Utah, USA. Emerg Infect Dis 27, 988-990, https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2703.204444 (2021).
- Aguiló-Gisbert, J. et al. First Description of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Two Feral American Mink (Neovison vison) Caught in the Wild. *Animals* 11, https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11051422 (2021).
- Damas, J. et al. Broad Host Range of SARS-CoV-2 Predicted by Comparative and Structural Analysis of ACE2 in Vertebrates. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2004.2016.045302, https://doi. org/10.1101/2020.04.16.045302 (2020).
- Francisco, R. et al. Experimental susceptibility of North American raccoons (Procyon lotor) and striped skunks (Mephitis mephitis) to SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv, 2021.2003.2006.434226, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.06.434226 (2021).
- Schlottau, K. et al. SARS-CoV-2 in fruit bats, ferrets, pigs, and chickens: an experimental transmission study. The Lancet Microbe 1, e218-e225, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30089-6 (2020).
- Freuling, C. et al. Susceptibility of Raccoon Dogs for Experimental SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Emerging Infectious Disease journal 26, 2982, https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2612.203733 (2020).
- Palmer, M. V. et al. Susceptibility of White-Tailed Deer (Odocoileus virginianus) to SARS-CoV-2. Journal of Virology 95, e00083-00021, https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00083-21 (2021).
- Fagre, A. et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection, neuropathogenesis and transmission among deer mice: Implications for spillback to New World rodents. *PLOS Pathogens* 17, e1009585, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009585 (2021).
- Lu, S. et al. Comparison of nonhuman primates identified the suitable model for COVID-19. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy 5, 157, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41392-020-00269-6 (2020).
- Sia, S. F. et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. Nature 583, 834-838, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2342-5 (2020).
- Bosco-Lauth, A. et al. Peridomestic Mammal Susceptibility to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. *Emerging Infectious Disease journal* 27, 2073, https:// doi.org/10.3201/eid2708.210180 (2021).
- Mykytyn, A. Z. et al. Susceptibility of rabbits to SARS-CoV-2. Emerg Microbes Infect 10, 1-7, https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1868951 (2021).
- Zhao, Y. et al. Susceptibility of tree shrew to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Scientific Reports 10, 16007, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72563-w (2020).
- Kim, Y.-I. et al. Infection and Rapid Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. Cell Host & Microbe 27, 704-709.e702, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.03.023 (2020).
- 22. Shi, J. et al. Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. Science, eabb7015, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb7015 (2020).

- Cool, K. et al. Infection and transmission of ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and its alpha variant in pregnant white-tailed deer. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2008.2015.456341, https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.08.15.456341 (2021).
- Chandler, J. C. et al. SARS-CoV-2 exposure in wild white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 118, e2114828118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2114828118 (2021).
- World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), W. A. H. I. S. Follow-up report 18, SARS-CoV-2 in animals, United States of America, FUR_151387, https://wahis.oie.int/#/ report-info?reportId=38714> (2021).
- 26. Mullen, J. L. et al. outbreak.info, <https://outbreak.info/> (2020).
- Liu, Z. et al. Identification of SARS-CoV-2 spike mutations that attenuate monoclonal and serum antibody neutralization. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 477-488 e474, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.01.014 (2021).
- Walters, B. F., Woodall, C. W. & Russell, M. B. White-tailed deer density estimates across the eastern United States, 2008. Retrieved from the Data Repository for the University of Minnesota, (2016).
- ODNR, D. o. W. Managing Ohio's Deer Herd, https://ohiodnr.gov/static/documents/wildlife/wildlife-management/Managing%20Ohio's%20Deer%20Herd%20pub087.pdf>
- Shepstone Management Company. (ed Inc. Whitetail Deer Farmers of Ohio) (2010).
 Franklin, A. B. & Bevins, S. N. Spillover of SARS-CoV-2 into novel wild hosts in North
- Frankun, A. b. α bevins, S. N. Spillover of SARS-CoV-2 into novel wild hosts in North America: A conceptual model for perpetuation of the pathogen. Science of The Total Environment **733**, 139358, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139358 (2020).

- Bivins, A. et al. Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in Water and Wastewater. Environmental Science & Technology Letters 7, 937-942, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00730 (2020).
- Ai, Y. et al. Wastewater-based epidemiology for tracking COVID-19 trend and variants of concern in Ohio, United States. medRxiv, 2021.2006.2008.21258421, https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.06.08.21258421 (2021).
- Bernard, K. et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in urban stormwater: An environmental reservoir and potential interface between human and animal sources. Science of the Total Environment In revision (2021).
- Smyth, D. S. et al. Tracking Cryptic SARS-CoV-2 Lineages Detected in NYC Wastewater. medRxiv, 2021.2007.2026.21261142, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.26.21261142 (2021).
- O'Brien, D. J. et al. Epidemiology of Mycobacterium bovis in free-ranging white-tailed deer, Michigan, USA, 1995–2000. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 54, 47-63, https://doi. org/10.1016/S0167-5877(02)00010-7 (2002).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

 ${\small \circledcirc}$ The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021



Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS us community, Esri, US Census Bureau, Infogroup

Figure 1 | SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in white-tailed deer across the study

locations. (A) The nine study sites were spread across a 1000 km² landscape of varying population density in Northeast Ohio. Darker shading corresponds to higher human population density (people per square mile). Sampling sites one, two, five, seven, and nine are in close proximity to human populations and are indicated as urban sites with an asterisk in panels B and C. (B) Nasal swabs from white-tailed deer were tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA using



real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (rRT-PCR). Estimates of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA are represented by the Ct value of the N1 rRT-PCR target subtracted from 40. Negative samples are represented with a value of zero. (C) The prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the white-tailed deer at each study site was estimated using rRT-PCR. Proportion of positive samples is shown with Clopper-Pearson exact 95% confidence interval bars. Number of samples collected for each site is indicated in parentheses.



Figure 2 | **Three SARS-CoV-2 lineages identified in white-tailed deer.** (A) The number of weekly COVID-19 cases in humans in Ohio is presented from October 2020 – September 2021, shaded by the proportion of viruses sequenced each week in Ohio that belong to one of five Pango lineages (or "Other"). (B) Summary of six human-to-deer transmission events observed in Ohio, with putative deer-to-deer transmission. (C) Maximum likelihood tree inferred for

SARS-CoV-2 viruses in humans and white-tailed deer in Ohio during January – March 2021. Tips are shaded by Pango lineage and major lineages are boxed, labeled, and shaded similar to Figure 2b. Viruses found in white-tailed deer (clusters or singletons) are shaded red and labeled by location (the B.1.2 virus identified at site 4 not shown due to lower sequence coverage). All branch lengths drawn to scale.



Figure 3 | **Evolution of B.1.596 viruses in white-tailed deer.** (A) Bayesian time-scale MCC tree inferred for the cluster of 7 B.1.596 viruses identified in white-tailed deer at site 1, the 46 most closely related human B.1.596 viruses, and a random sampling of other B.1.596 viruses observed in the United States during November 2020 – March 2021. Tips are shaded by location state (host species + geography). Branches are shaded by the location state inferred from an ancestral reconstruction. Posterior probabilities are provided for key

nodes. Cartoons indicate the host-switch branch where human-to-deer transmission may have occurred, followed by putative deer-to-deer transmission within site 1. The estimated timing and location state probability is provided for key nodes defining the host-switch branch. (B) Clade-defining amino acid changes observed in all 7 B.1.596 deer viruses are listed. (C) The E484D substitution in the spike protein's receptor binding motif (RBM) is shown in one of the B.1.596 deer viruses (OH-OSU-340).

Methods

Sample collection

Between January-March 2021, 360 free-ranging white-tailed deer originating from 9 study sites in northeast Ohio (USA) were euthanized as part of a deer population management program. Harvest occurred at locations that were baited with whole kernel corn for up to two weeks prior to each culling session, and additional deer were harvested opportunistically when they were observed away from the bait on a culling session day. In the field, once a deer was harvested, the head was wrapped in a plastic bag and an identification tag was attached to a leg. Each day of the program, harvested deer carcasses were transported to a central processing point where samples were collected. All samples were collected by one experienced veterinarian who wore a facemask and gloves that were changed or washed between each sample. A nasal swab was collected from each deer and placed into a tube with brain heart infusion broth (BHIB). After collection, samples were immediately chilled on ice packs then transferred into a - 80 °C freezer within 12 h where they remained until testing was initiated. Samples were collected post-mortem, which was exempt from oversight by The Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Diagnostic testing

Samples were initially tested using the Charité/Berlin (WHO) assay³⁷. Viral RNA was extracted from 200µl of BHIB using Omega Bio-tek Mag-Bind Viral DNA/RNA kit (cat #M6246-03). Xeno Internal Control (Life Technologies cat # A29763) was included in the extraction to ensure the accuracy of negative results. Five microliters of extracted RNA was added to Path-ID MPX One-Step Kit master mix (Life Technologies cat# 4442135) containing 12.5µl 2x Multiplex RT-PCR buffer, 2.5µl enzyme mix, 1.5µl nuclease free water, 4.5µl Eassay primer/probe panel (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. cat #1006804), and 1µl XENO VIC Internal Control Assay (Life Technologies cat# A29765) for each sample. The cycling parameters for the real-time, reverse-transcriptase PCR (rRT-PCR) were 48 °C for 10 min, 95 °C 10 min, 45 cycles of 95 °C 15 sec and 58 °C 45 sec. Samples with a cycle threshold (Ct) of \leq 40 were considered positive. If the E assay was positive, the RdRp confirmatory and discriminatory assays were completed using the above master mix formulation and thermocycler parameters, replacing the E assay primer/probe panel with the confirmatory and discriminatory primer/ probe panel (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. cat #s 10006805 and 10006806). The RNA from all samples that tested positive with the E assay, was retested with the CDC rRT-PCR protocol³⁸. Samples that were 2019-nCoV N1 and N2 positive were classified as presumptive positive. A subset of presumptive positive samples were selected for retesting, in which RNA was re-extracted from original samples to verify the rRT-PCR result.

Genomic sequencing

Original sample material for 76 representative presumptive positive samples were sent to the National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL) for confirmatory rRT-PCR testing using the CDC protocol and whole genome sequencing. Viral RNA was amplified by PCR³⁹ and cDNA libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit according to manufacturer instructions. Sequencing was performed using the 500 cycle MiSeq Reagent Kit v2. Sequences were assembled using IRMA v0.6.7 and DNAStar SeqMan NGen v14.0.1. Additional sequencing was attempted at Ohio State's Applied Microbiology Services Laboratory using a modified ARTIC V3 method (ARTIC Network, 2020). Extracted RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the ARTIC SARS-CoV-2FS Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich MA) per manufacturer's recommended protocol. Amplified products were converted into Illumina sequencing libraries using the RNA Prep with Enrichment (L) Tagmentation Kit protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with unique dual indexes and 10 cycles of TagPCR. Sequencing libraries were pooled and quantified using ProNex NGS Library Quant Kit (NG1201, Promega Co. Madison, WI). 650pM libraries were loaded on P2 sequencing cartridges and analyzed with the NextSeq2000 (Illumina) with 2x101bp cycles. Data were transmitted to the BaseSpace Cloud platform (Illumina) and converted to FASTQ file format using DRAGEN FASTQ Generation v3.8.4 (Illumina). DRAGEN COVID Lineage app v3.5.3 (Illumina) was used to align sequence data and produce quality metrics and consensus genome sequences.

Data analysis

Pangolin v3.1.11, 2021-09-17 was used to assign lineage^{40,41}. Prevalence was estimated using the number of presumptive positive nasal swabs based upon the final CDC rRT-PCR results. Prevalence estimates, confidence intervals, and other descriptive statistics were calculated using STATA 14.2 (StataCorp LLC).

Virus isolation

Briefly, at the NVSL, the samples were diluted between 1:2 and 1:3 in minimum essential medium with Earle's balanced salt solution (MEM-E). Vero 76 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) that were mycoplasma-free were inoculated with 1.5 mL of diluted sample material and adsorbed for 1 h at 37 °C. After adsorption, a replacement medium containing 2ug/ml TPCK trypsin was added, and cells were incubated at 37 °C for up to seven days. Cell cultures with exhibiting no cytopathic effects (CPE) were frozen, thawed, and subjected to two blind passages, inoculating the fresh cultures with those lysates as described above. At the end of two blind passages or upon observation of CPE, cell culture material was tested by rRT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 using the CDC N1 and N2 primer and probe sets.

Phylogenetic analysis

First, a background dataset was compiled from GISAID that included all SARS-CoV-2 sequences available from humans in Ohio, USA during the study period (January 1 - March 31, 2021), downloaded on September 27, 2021 (n = 4,801 sequences). To our knowledge, these are the first SARS-CoV-2 viruses sequenced from white-tailed deer globally and no additional sequences from white-tailed deer were available in any public repository for comparison. Pangolin was used to assign a lineage to each human virus. In total, 102 lineages were identified in this data set, with the most common being B.1.2 (n = 1766), B.1.1.7 (n = 833). 411 (n = B.1.1.519), B.1.429 (n = 307), and B.1.596 (n = 274). The dataset was aligned using NextClade with Wuhan-Hu-1 as a reference. The alignment was manually trimmed at the 5' and 3' ends. The final alignment included only coding regions and was manually edited to be in frame, with stop codons present only at the terminus of genes. A phylogenetic tree was inferred from this data set using maximum likelihood methods available in IQ-TREE version v1.6.12 with a GTR+G model of nucleotide substitution and 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using the high-performance computational capabilities of the Biowulf Linux cluster at the National Institutes of Health (http://biowulf.nih.gov). The inferred tree was visualized in FigTree v1.4.4. Outlier sequences were removed with long branch lengths and incongruence between genetic divergence and sampling date, as assessed using TempEst v1.5.3, typically arising from poor sequence coverage. One of the 14 sequences obtained from deer in our study (hCoV-19/deer/USA/ OH-OSU-0025/2021, site 4) was lower in coverage and had a very long branch length and was excluded from the final phylogenetic analysis. To examine the evolutionary origins of the cluster of 7B.1.596 viruses obtained from deer at site 1 in more granular detail, a second phylogenetic tree was inferred that included all B.1.596 sequences available globally from NCBI's GenBank (n = 5,586), nearly all (99.8%) from the United States, using similar methods as above. For purposes of visualization a separate phylogenetic tree was inferred that was limited to the sub-clade of B.1.596 viruses (n=46) most closely related to the 7 deer viruses. This clade, plus 100 viruses randomly sampled from other sections of the tree as background, was used

in a subsequent Bayesian phylogeographic analysis. A time-scaled Bayesian analysis using the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method was performed the BEAST v1.10.4 $package^{42}$, again using the Biowulf Linux cluster. A relaxed uncorrelated lognormal (UCLN) molecular clock was used with a flexible Bayesian skyline population, and a general-time reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with gamma-distributed rate variation among sites. Each sample was assigned to one of three categories based on host and geography: (a) viruses collected in humans in all US states except Ohio, (b) viruses collected in humans in Ohio, and (c) viruses collected in deer in Ohio. The MCMC chain was run separately three times for each of the datasets for at least 100 million iterations with subsampling every 10,000 iterations, using the BEAGLE 3 library to improve computational performance⁴³. All parameters reached convergence, as assessed visually using Tracer v.1.7.1. with statistical uncertainty reflected in values of the 95% highest posterior density (HPD). At least 10% of the chain was removed as burn-in and runs were combined using LogCombiner v1.10.4 and a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree was summarized using TreeAnnotator v1.10.4 and visualized in FigTree v1.4.4. The NVSL vSNP pipeline ((https:// github.com/USDA-VS/vSNP) was applied for SNP based phylogenetic analysis using Wuhan-Hu-1 (NC_045512) as a reference.

Epidemiological data

The epidemiological curve of SARS-CoV-2 cases in Ohio from April 2020 to September 2020 was generated using the number of daily reported COVID-19 cases in the state of Ohio (all age groups), available from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (https:// data.cdc.gov/Case-Surveillance/COVID-19-Case-Surveillance-Public-Use-Data-with-Ge/n8mc-b4w4). All SARS-CoV-2 genetic sequences from Ohio were downloaded from GISAID on October 8, 2021 (n=18.052) to estimate the proportion of viruses belonging to different Pango lineages during each week of the epidemic. To account for the intensity of surveillance not being even over time the number of viruses per lineage per week was normalized against the epidemiological curve derived from COVID-19 case counts and visualized using R. To further minimize biases only sequences categorized in the GISAID submission as obtained using a "baseline surveillance" sampling strategy were included in the analysis. The dataset was further trimmed to include only submissions with complete collection dates and sufficient coverage to assign a Pango lineage, resulting in a final dataset of 9,947 sequences from Ohio. For simplicity sub-lineages of B.1.617.2 (e.g., AY.3) were consolidated into the Delta category and sub-lineages of B.1.1.7 (e.g., O.3) were consolidated into the Alpha category. Baseline surveillance data prior to December 20, 2020 was too thinly sampled to reliably estimate the proportion of viruses from different lineages from this time period, so a second figure was generated using all available sequence data. Since the proportions of Pango lineages over time proved to be very similar in the baseline data and the complete dataset, the larger dataset that dated back to October 2020 was used in the final figure.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Data Availability

For all 14 SARS-CoV-2 viruses from white-tailed deer sequenced in this study, sequencing data are available on NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) and assembled genome sequences are on GISAID. SRA and GISAID accession numbers are provided in Extended Data Table 4.

- Corman, V. M. et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. *Euro Surveill* 25, 2000045, https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2020.25.3.2000045 (2020).
- CDC. CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> (2021).
- Paden, C.R. et al. Rapid, Sensitive, Full-Genome Sequencing of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 26, 2401–2405. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2610.201800 (2020).
- O'Toole, A. et al. Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the pangolin tool. Virus Evol 7, veab064, https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/veab064 (2021).
- Rambaut, A. et al. A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. *Nature Microbiology* 5, 1403-1407, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41564-020-0770-5 (2020).
- Suchard, M. A. et al. Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus Evol 4, vey016, https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vey016 (2018).
- Ayres, D. L. et al. BEAGLE 3: Improved Performance, Scaling, and Usability for a High-Performance Computing Library for Statistical Phylogenetics. Syst Biol 68, 1052-1061, https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syz020 (2019).

Acknowledgements This work was supported by The Ohio State University Infectious Diseases Institute and The Ohio State University Center of Microbiome Science (Targeted Investment: eSCOUT - Environmental Surveillance for COVID-19 in Ohio: Understanding Transmission), Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under contract HHSN272201400006C and HHSN272201400008C, and the NIAID Intramural Research Program. The authors thank Hannah Cochran, Elizabeth Ohl, Amber Cleggett, Sydney Treglia, Amanda M. Williams, Francesca Savona, Jacob W. Smith, Dakota Sizemore, and the Cleveland Metroparks Deer Management Team. The content does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Government or imply endorsement of any products.

Author contributions Conceptualization: VLH, PAD, JMN, DL, SG, LS, SAF, ASB, Methodology: VLH, PAD, JMN, SAF, MIN, ASB, Investigation: PAD, JG, CM, DH, ME, RMT, MLK, KL, SRA, MT, ASB, Visualization: MIN, DSM, ASB, Funding acquisition: VLH, JMN, JAW, SAF, ASB, Project administration: VLH, PAD, JMN, ASB, Supervision: VLH, PAD, JG, MT, ASB, Writing – original draft: VLH, MIN, DSM, MLK, SAF, ASB, Writing – review & editing: PAD, CM, DH, JG, JMN, DL, JAW, SG, LS, ME, RMT, KL, SRA, MT.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Andrew S. Bowman. Peer review information *Nature* thanks Malik Peiris and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available. Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.

Extended Data Table 1 | SARS-CoV-2 prevalence stratified by site and sampling date.

	Date of collection	Samples	Positive	Estimated Prevalence	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
0:1- 4	2021-02-02	41	2	5%	1%	17%
Site	2021-02-25	33	8	24%	11%	42%
011-0	2021-1-27	4	3	75%	19%	99%
Site 2	2021-03-03	16	11	69%	41%	89%
Site 3	2021-03-02	24	8	33%	16%	55%
0:4- 4	2021-01-26	14	2	14%	2%	43%
Site 4	2021-02-17	16	4	25%	7%	52%
	2021-01-25	16	8	50%	25%	75%
Site 5	2021-02-09	8	5	63%	24%	91%
	2021-03-08	6	4	67%	22%	96%
0:1- 0	2021-01-28	33	3	9%	2%	24%
Sile 6	2021-03-04	15	7	47%	21%	73%
	2021-02-22	20	5	25%	9%	49%
Site 7	2021-02-23	19	8	42%	20%	67%
	2021-02-24	50	36	72%	58%	84%
Site 8	2021-02-16	13	3	23%	5%	54%
Cite 0	2021-02-01	22	12	55%	32%	76%
Site 9	2021-03-01	10	0	0%	0%	31%*

Sample collection dates for all nine sites are shown indicating the number of nasal swabs collected from white-tailed deer on each date and the number of those swabs that were screened positive for SARS-CoV-2 using the WHO and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention rRT-PCR protocols in series. Overall estimated prevalence is shown with 95% confidence interval estimates (Clopper-Pearson exact). The 7 collection dates from which genetic sequences were obtained are in bold text.

Categorical						
Covariate	Category	Samples	Positive (%)	Odds Ratio	Chi2	P-Value
				3.10	25.45	<0.0005
Sex	Male	149	76 (51)			
	Female	211	53 (25)			
				0.82	0.72	0.395
Cull method	Treestand	119	39 (33)			
	Opportunistic	241	90 (37)			
			Continuous			
Covariate	Samples	Negative mean (std)	Positive mean (std)	Difference	z (Mann-Whitney)	P-Value
Mass (Kg)	360	52.76 (13.48)	56.73 (13.93)	3.972	2.77	0.0056
Age (vears)	357	2.11	2.05	-0.053	-0.035	0.9717

rRT-PCR positive samples are shown by group for categorical variables, p-values shown from Pearson's Chi2. The mean of each continuous variable is show for rRT-PCR positive and negative deer respectively, p-values shows from Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum test (two-sided). Age was not recorded for 3 deer which tested negative for SARS-CoV-2.

Extended Data Table 3 | Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 viruses identified in Ohio in humans during January 1 – February 28, 2021

Rank	Lineage	Percentage	Number
1	B.1.2	56.3%	462
2	B.1.596	8.3%	68
3	B.1.1.519	7.1%	58
4	B.1.429	3.4%	28
5	B.1.1.7	3.0%	25
6	B.1.234	2.7%	22
7	P.2	2.4%	20
8	B.1.311	1.6%	13
9	B.1.427	1.5%	12
10	B.1.400	1.2%	10
11	B.1.582	1.2%	10
12	B.1.1	1.1%	9
13	B.1.243	1.1%	9
14	B.1	0.7%	6
15	B.1.568	0.7%	6
16	B.1.595	0.6%	5
17	B.1.1.337	0.5%	4
18	B.1.240	0.5%	4
19	B.1.448	0.5%	4
20	B.1.1.207	0.4%	3
21	B.1.1.434	0.4%	3
22	B.1.265	0.4%	3
23	B.1.361	0.4%	3
24	B.1.438.4	0.4%	3
25	B.1.577	0.4%	3
26	B.1.588	0.4%	3
27	B.1.1.265	0.2%	2
28	B.1.298	0.2%	2
29	B.1.517	0.2%	2
30	B.1.565	0.2%	2
31	Q.8	0.2%	2
32	B.1.1.291	0.1%	1
33	B.1.1.316	0.1%	1
34	B.1.1.348	0.1%	1
35	B.1.110.3	0.1%	1
36	B.1.139	0.1%	1
37	B.1.324	0.1%	1
38	B.1.346	0.1%	1
39	B.1.404	0.1%	1
40	B.1.409	0.1%	1
41	B.1.493	0.1%	1
42	B.1.503	0.1%	1
43	B.1.564	0.1%	1
44	C.23	0.1%	1
45	C.31	0.1%	1

Only viruses categorized as collected for baseline surveillance are included.

Extended Data Table 4 | Whole genome sequence data

Virus name	Site	Collection date	GenBank SRA	GISAID	Submitting laboratory	
			Accession	Accession		
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0025/2021	4	2021-01-26	SRR17187543	EPI_ISL_4878314	Ohio State	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0057/2021	6	2021-01-28	SRR17187550	EPI_ISL_4847029	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0058/2021	6	2021-01-28	SRR17187551	EPI_ISL_4847030	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0078/2021	9	2021-02-01	SRR17187544	EPI_ISL_4878315	Ohio State	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0079/2021	9	2021-02-01	SRR17187552	EPI_ISL_4847031	Ohio State	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0109/2021	1	2021-02-02	SRR17187545	EPI_ISL_4878316	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0141/2021	8	2021-02-16	SRR17187553	EPI_ISL_4847032	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0212/2021	7	2021-02-24	SRR17187542	EPI_ISL_4847033	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0335/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187546	EPI_ISL_4878317	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0336/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187547	EPI_ISL_4878318	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0340/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187548	EPI_ISL_4878319	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0341/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187549	EPI_ISL_4878320	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0343/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187554	EPI_ISL_4878321	NVSL	
hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0344/2021	1	2021-02-25	SRR17187555	EPI_ISL_4878322	Ohio State	

Sequences generated from original sample nasal swabs collected from white-tailed deer as a part of this study are shown with GISAID and NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accession numbers, collection date, site of collection, and the submitting laboratory indicating if the sequencing was completed at The Ohio State University Applied Microbiology Services Laboratory (Ohio State) or USDA National Veterinary Services Laboratories (NVSL). The two samples from which viable SARS-CoV-2 was isolated are denoted with bold text.

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Andrew S. Bowman

Last updated by author(s): Dec 9, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
		The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
\boxtimes		A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\square	A description of all covariates tested
\boxtimes		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code				
Data collection	Pangolin v3.1.11, 2021-09-17 was used to assign lineages to SARS-CoV-2 sequences.			
Data analysis	IQ-TREE version v1.6.12 (open source) was used to infer phylogenetic trees which were visualized in FigTree v.1.4.4 (open source). TempEst v1.5.3 (open source) was used to assess incongruence between genetic divergence and sampling date. BEAST v1.10.4package (open source) was used to perform the time-scaled Bayesian analysis using the Markov chain Monte Carlo method and results were visualized in Tracer v.1.7.1 (open source). LogCombiner v1.10.4 (open source) was used to combine runs/trees which was summarized into a single tree by by TreeAnnotator v1.10.4 (open source). The National Veterinary Services Laboratory vSNP pipeline was applied for SNP analysis and used Wuhan-Hu-1 (NC_045512) as a reference. The pipeline is available here: https://github.com/USDA-VS/vSNP.			

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Whole-genome sequences are available on GISAID and SRA for all 14 deer viruses sequenced for this study, accession numbers are available in Extended Data Table 4. All other data analyzed in this paper is available as part of the Article, Extended Data Tables 1-4. All SARS-CoV-2 sequences from humans in Ohio (Jan 1, 20201-March 31, 2021) were compiled from GISAID and downloaded 10/8/2021. B.1.596 sequences were sequences were pulled from NCBI's GenBank. Number of SARS-CoV2-2 cases in Ohio from April 2020-September 2020 was pulled from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention COVID-19 Surveillance website: https://data.cdc.gov/Case-Surveillance/COVID-19-Case-Surveillance-Public-Use-Data-with-Ge/n8mc-b4w4

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	This study involved SARS-CoV-2 testing in white-tailed deer followed by epidemiological analyses of positive samples, and phylogenetic analyses of SARS-CoV-2 sequences.
Research sample	Deer were harvested as part of a deer population management program in northeast Ohio and not for the purposes of this study. Sample collection was opportunistic and conducted in cooperation with regulatory agencies and as part of routine surveillance programs. Harvested animals ranged in age from from ~0.5 to 5.5 years old and included 149 males and 215 females which generally represented the broader deer population around northeast Ohio. There were limitations on the number of antlered deer that could be harvested which contributed to the lower number of males included in this study. Deer harvest occurred at locations that were baited for up to two weeks prior to each culling session, and additional deer were harvested opportunistically when they were observed away from the bait on a culling session day.
Sampling strategy	We sampled all deer that were harvested between at our study sites Jan-Mar 2021 as part of the northeast Ohio deer population management program were sampled as part of this study.
Data collection	Patricia Dennis and Jennifer Grieser were responsible for conducting or overseeing sample collection. This included recording the age, weight, sex, and reproductive status of each deer using pend and paper, and they collected a nasal swab into BHIB. Samples were then shipped to Andrew Bowman where Jacqueline Nolting, Chrisopher Madden, and Devra Huey extracted and qPCR tested, and recorded assay results from each sample in Excel spreadsheets. Positive samples then underwent sequencing. Mary Killian, Kristina Lantz, Rachel Tell, Mia Torchetti, Suelee Robbe-Austerman, Martha Nelson, Dillon McBride and Seth Faith were involved in SARS-CoV-2 sequence analysis, phylogenetic analyses, and download of publicly available SARS-CoV-2 sequences.
Timing and spatial scale	All samples were collected between January-March 2021 from 9 different sites in northeast Ohio spread across a 1000 sq km area.
Data exclusions	The only data excluded from analysis were SARS-CoV-2 sequences identified as "outlier sequences" by TempEst due to long branch lengths and incongruence between genetic divergence and sampling date. This can occur due to poor sequence coverage. One of the 14 sequences obtained from deer in our study (hCoV-19/deer/USA/OH-OSU-0025/2021, site 4) was lower in coverage and had a very long branch length and was excluded from the final phylogenetic analysis.
Reproducibility	All deer nasal swabs were initially tested using the Charité/Berlin (WHO) assay. Briefly, this included an E assay. If the E assay was positive, it was followed by an RdRp confirmatory and discriminatory assay. All E assay positive samples were also retested with the CDC, and samples that were CDC positive were classified as presumptive positives. NVSL confirmed 75 of 76 attempted.
Randomization	As SARS-CoV-2 infection status was unknown in all deer prior to testing, all samples were extracted in batches without any specific efforts toward randomization.
Blinding	SARS-CoV-2 infection status was unknown in all deer prior to testing.
Did the study involve field	d work? 🕅 Yes 🗌 No

Field work, collection and transport

Samples were collected from northeast Ohio (USA) in January-March 2021 (average temperature range during these months is Field conditions 20.3F-51.7F. All deer came from northeast Ohio (USA) and were harvested and transported to a central processing point where nasal swabs were

Location

Location	collected from each deer. Deer were harvested as part of a deer population management program and not for the purposes of this study.
Access & import/export	Deer were harvested as part of a deer population management program in northeast Ohio and not for the purposes of this study. Sample collection was opportunistic and conducted in cooperation with regulatory agencies and as part of routine surveillance programs. Samples were collected post-mortem, which was deemed exempt by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
Disturbance	N/A

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems Methods Involved in the study Involved in the study n/a n/a \boxtimes \boxtimes Antibodies ChIP-seq \boxtimes \boxtimes Eukaryotic cell lines Flow cytometry \boxtimes \boxtimes Palaeontology and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging Animals and other organisms \boxtimes Human research participants \boxtimes Clinical data \boxtimes Dual use research of concern

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	No laboratory animals were used.
Wild animals	Male and female, 0.5 - 5.5 year-old deer (Odocoileus virginianus) were harvested as part of a deer population management program in northeast Ohio and not for the purposes of this study. Sample collection was opportunistic and occurred posthumously.
Field-collected samples	Harvested deer carcasses were transported to a central processing point where samples were collected. Sample collectors wore gloves and a facemask. A nasal swab was collected from each deer and placed into a tube with brain heart infusion broth (BHIB). After collection, samples were immediately chilled on ice packs then transferred into a –80°C freezer within 12 h where they remained until testing was initiated.
Ethics oversight	The animal-origin samples tested in the manuscript were collected after the animals were harvested for purposes not related to this research; therefore, this study was deemed exempt by The Ohio State University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.